



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Hubner to inform him that claims 1-28, 30-42 and 45 were allowable over the prior art. However, the cancellation of claim 42, which is drawn to a non-elected invention, and claim 46, which is obvious over Brunet and af Ekenstam would be required. Mr. Hubner said he would contact his client and get back to the examiner by Monday, December 13, 2010. Mr. Hubner contacted the examiner December 9, 2010 at 4:30pm and it was agreed that claims 42 and 46 could be cancelled by Examiner's Amendment so that the application could be allowed..